

financial results;<sup>3</sup> (3) the dates and amounts of any funds received from the Four Corners Regional Commission and/or the State of Arizona;<sup>4</sup> and (4) a breakdown of the proposed operating, traffic and financial forecasts into the following sections: (a) routings and points served as of February 15, 1977; (b) additional services proposed in Arizona, viz. Douglas, Ft. Huachuca, and Winslow; and (c) the California extension, viz. Blythe, El Centro, and Yuma-west operations. Specify what the first forecast year is, and whether it is intended to be the first normalized year.

All applications, motions to consolidate and comments in response to this order must be filed within 20 days of the date of service of this order. Answers to motions and replies to comments filed pursuant to this directive will be due 10 days thereafter. An environmental evaluation pursuant to Part 312 of the Board's Procedural Regulations shall be filed by Cochise Airlines and any other applicant in the proceeding within 60 days of the date of service of this order.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That: 1. A proceeding to be known as the *Arizona Service Investigation*, Docket 30635, be and it hereby is instituted and set for hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place to be designated hereafter;

2. The application of Cochise Airlines, Inc., in Docket 28966, be and it hereby is consolidated into the proceeding instituted by paragraph 1 to the extent determined by the Board in a subsequent order;

3. Applications, motions to consolidate and comments in response to this order shall be filed within 20 days after the date of service of this order;

4. Answers in response to pleadings filed pursuant to paragraph 3, above, shall be filed 10 days thereafter;

5. Hughes Air Corp. d.b.a. Hughes Airwest and Frontier Airlines, Inc. be and they hereby are directed to supply the information requested herein;

6. Cochise Airlines, Inc., and any other applicant for authority in this proceeding shall file an environmental evaluation within 60 days of the date of service of this order; and

7. A copy of this order shall be served upon Cochise Airlines, Inc.; Hughes Air Corp. d/b/a Hughes Airwest; Frontier Airlines, Inc.; Sky West Aviation, Inc.; Imperial Airlines, Inc.; Lake Havasu Air Service, Inc.; Scenic Airlines, Inc.; Nevada Airlines, Inc.; Omni Airlines, Inc.; Golden West Airlines, Inc.; Sierra Pacific Airlines, Inc.; Swift Air Lines, Inc. and all persons set forth to receive correspondence in the motion for hearing in Docket 28966.

<sup>3</sup> Include full profit and loss statements for the two years as well as the balance sheets for December 31, 1975 and 1976.

<sup>4</sup> Include a breakdown of what the funds were designated for (i.e., startup costs, aircraft leasing, other operating expenses, etc.).

This order shall be published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-8834 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

[Docket 28096]

## CATEGORY Y FARE INVESTIGATION

### Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in this proceeding is assigned to be held before the Board on May 11, 1977, at 10 a.m. (local time), in Room 1027, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Washington, D.C., March 18, 1977.

HENRY M. SWITKAY,  
Acting Chief,  
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 77-8833 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

[Docket 27573; Agreement C.A.B. 25719 R-1 through R-11; Order 77-3-111]

## INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

### Cargo Rates; Order Granting Stay

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 18th day of March, 1977.

By Order 76-9-13, September 2, 1976, the Board approved increases in North/Central Pacific specific commodity rates for electronic components<sup>1</sup> adopted by the carrier members of the International Air Transport Association (IATA) as part of an overall IATA agreement on North/Central Pacific cargo rates. On September 22, 1976 the Western Electronics Manufacturers Association (WEMA)<sup>2</sup> filed a petition for reconsideration and stay of the Board's order.<sup>3</sup> Subsequently, WEMA filed a motion in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit seeking a stay of Order 76-9-13. The Board has determined to stay the effectiveness of Order 76-9-13 insofar as it relates to the electronic rates, until 15 days after the order on WEMA's petition for reconsideration.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That: The effectiveness of Order 76-9-13, insofar as it relates to increases to rates for commodity items 4416, 4417, 4435, 4506, 9902,

<sup>1</sup> Commodity items 4416, 4417, 4435, 4506, 9902, and 9903.

<sup>2</sup> Signetics Corporation; Litronix, Inc.; Hewlett-Packard Co.; Intel Corporation; National Semiconductor; Electronic Memories & Magnetics Corporation; Fairchild Camera & Instrument Corp.; Intersil, Inc.; American Microsystems, Inc.; Data General Corporation; Rockwell International; RCA; and General Instrument Corporation.

<sup>3</sup> The Board does not anticipate any protracted delay in acting on the petition for reconsideration.

and 9903 in Agreement C.A.B. 25719 be and hereby is stayed until 15 days after action on the petition of the Western Electronics Manufacturers Association for reconsideration of Order 76-9-13.

This order will be published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-8835 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

[Docket 30633; Order 77-3-110]

## UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

### Nonacceptance of ORM Shipments in Containers; Order of Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 18th day of March, 1977.

By tariff revisions<sup>1</sup> issued February 15, 1977, United Air Lines, Inc. (United) proposes a tariff rule refusing acceptance in containers of, inter alia, Other Regulated Material, Class D (ORM-D).<sup>2</sup>

A complaint requesting rejection or, alternatively, suspension and investigation of the proposal has been submitted by the Council for Safe Transportation of Hazardous Articles (COSTHA). The complainant contends that DOT regulations do not require inspection of or accessibility to ORM-D shipments due to their low degree of hazard, and that the proposal therefore conflicts with DOT regulations. COSTHA further contends that the proposal discriminates against shippers of ORM-D materials by denying them the advantages of containerization, particularly the reduction in loss and damage to shipments.

In support of the proposal, and in answer to the complaint, United asserts, in-

<sup>1</sup> Revisions to Airline Tariff Publishing Company, Agent, Tariff C.A.B. No. 227.

<sup>2</sup> ORM-D materials are defined as a material such as a consumer commodity which, though otherwise subject to the Department of Transportation (DOT) hazardous goods regulations, presents a limited hazard during transportation due to its form, quantity, and packaging. (49 CFR 173.500(a)(4)). "Consumer commodities" are ORM-D materials which are intended for sale to, and use by, consumers, and consist of such items as aerosol sprays, perfumes, etc. Although generally exempted from most of the hazardous materials regulations, they are limited to a maximum gross weight per package of 65 pounds and are subject to certain packaging requirements, depending on the nature of hazard. (See 49 CFR 173.1200.) Without the ORM-D exemptions, however, these materials would be subject to much more restrictive provisions, despite their low degree of hazard. Thus, absent ORM-D, a shipment of aerosol spray would be required to be packaged, marked, labeled, etc., as compressed gas. DOT's ORM-D classification, however, removes the labeling requirement (as well as any limitations on maximum permissible quantity per cargo hold and segregation requirements), and requires less stringent packaging.



ter alia, that DOT regulations require carrier inspection of and accessibility to ORM-A, B, and C shipments; that containerization of such shipments is therefore prohibited; that ORM-D materials also pose hazards to flight safety; and that they should thus be similarly restricted. The carrier further contends that in *Delta Air Lines, Inc., et al., v. Civil Aeronautics Board*, U.S.C.A., D.C. Circuit, Nos. 74-1984 et al., (*Delta*) the Court found that the Board could reject tariff provisions for "conflict" with DOT regulations only where they were in violation of such regulations.

In view of the foregoing and all other relevant factors, the Board concludes that the proposal may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. We find no basis for rejection.

DOT's adoption of the ORM-D classification was clearly intended to relieve shippers of such commodities from many of the burdens and restrictions of the prior hazardous materials regulations on the acceptance and carriage of such items. United's refusal to accept ORM-D materials in containers, however, would constitute a restriction not included in the DOT regulations which, in our view, should be subjected to the scrutiny of an investigation.

We have concluded, however, not to suspend the proposal. Rule 30(B)(2)(c) of the same tariff provides that restricted articles (including ORM materials) tendered as outside pieces with a containerized shipment will be rated as though tendered inside the container. Thus the proposed rule will impose no higher charges on ORM-D shippers.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof, *It is ordered*, That: 1. An investigation is instituted to determine whether the provisions in Rule No. 81 (only insofar as such provisions apply to ORM-D commodities) on 3rd and 4th Revised Pages 42-B and reissues thereof of Tariff C.A.B. No. 227 issued by Airline Tariff Publishing Company, Agent, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such charges and provisions are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful charges and provisions and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such provisions;

2. The proceeding, herein designated Docket 30633, be assigned before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated;

3. Except to the extent granted herein, the complaint of the Council for Safe Transportation of Hazardous Articles in Docket 30560 is dismissed; and

4. Copies of this order shall be served upon United Air Lines, Inc., and the Council for Safe Transportation of Hazardous Articles, which are hereby made parties to Docket 30633.

This order shall be published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOE,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-8835 Filed 3-23-77; 3:45 am]

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS COLORADO ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Colorado Advisory Committee (SAC) of the Commission will convene at 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. on April 9, 1977, Suite 1705, Executive Tower, 1405 Curtis Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

Persons wishing to attend this open meeting should contact the Committee Chairperson, or the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission, Executive Tower Inn, Suite 1700, 1405 Curtis Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss final reviews of the domestic violence report.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., March 22, 1977.

JOHN I. BINKLEY,  
Advisory Committee  
Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 77-9017 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of the Census

### CENSUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON HOUSING FOR THE 1980 CENSUS

#### Public Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C., Appendix I, (Supp. V, 1975)), notice is hereby given that the Census Advisory Committee on Housing for the 1980 Census will convene on April 15, 1977 at 9:30 a.m. The Committee will meet in Room 2424, Federal Building 3 at the Bureau of the Census in Suitland, Maryland.

The Census Advisory Committee on Housing for the 1980 Census was established in March 1976 to provide technical advice and guidance in planning the forthcoming decennial Census of Housing to ensure that the major statistical requirements of decision makers are provided by the 1980 Census of Housing program.

The Committee is composed of 18 members including a representative from each of nine organizations and nine members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce.

The agenda for the meeting is: (1) Status of the Oakland, California pretest census; (2) housing content items in the Oakland pretest, including plans for evaluations; (3) pretest results; (4) na-

tional community services programs; (5) status of the 1980 Residential Finance Survey; (6) plans for obtaining components of change information from the annual housing survey; (7) Census Bureau response to Committee recommendations; and (8) Committee recommendations.

The meeting will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comment and questions. Extensive questions or statements must be submitted in writing to the Committee Control Officer at least 3 days prior to the meeting.

Persons planning to attend and wishing additional information concerning this meeting or who wish to submit written statements may contact Mr. Arthur F. Young, Chief, Housing Division, Bureau of the Census, Federal Building 3, Suitland, Maryland. (Mail address: Washington, D.C. 20233). Telephone: 301-763-2863.

Dated: March 18, 1977.

ROBERT L. HAGAN,  
Acting Director,  
Bureau of the Census.

[FR Doc. 77-8746 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### NATIONAL OCEAN AND GEODETIC SURVEYS

#### Policy on Publication of Plane Coordinates

The National Ocean Survey, National Geodetic Survey determined it is in the best interest of the surveying and mapping community that two plane coordinate systems be published and supported beginning in 1983 with the North American Datum redefinition. These two systems will be identified as the "State Plane Coordinate" (SPC) and the "Universal Transverse Mercator" (UTM) systems.

The UTM system will consist of the transverse Mercator projection as defined in Chapter 1 of the 1958 Department of Army Technical Manual TM5-241-8, changing only the definition of the datum. The SPC will consist of the same projections and defining parameters as published in USC&GS Special Publication 235 (1974 revision) and legally adopted in 35 states, except for the following changes:

1. The grid will be marked on the ground using the 1983 NAD.

2. Distances from the origin will be expressed in meters and fractions thereof. One additional decimal place should be used for the metric expression of a value previously expressed in feet.

3. The arbitrary numeric constant, presently assigned to the origin, will be unchanged but will be considered as meters instead of feet, except for the following: If a state elects to have a different constant(s) assigned to the origin so that the 1983 NAD plane coordinates will appear significantly different from the 1927 NAD positions, when considering the overall system, then the National Geodetic Survey will consider changing



the origin constant. If the state so elects, it must amend its legislation to accommodate this change.

4. Michigan's transverse Mercator system will be eliminated in favor of the legislatively approved Lambert system.

5. Projection equations will be programmed such that the maximum computing error of a coordinate will never exceed 0.1 mm when computing the coordinate of a point within the zone boundaries.

A supplementary publication of SPC constants will not be published until 1982 to allow sufficient time for state legislative action.

These state amendments will be based upon the desires and needs within the states, recommendations of the National Geodetic Survey, and among other things will consider the following items.

1. Refinements to eliminate:
  - a. Negative "Y" coordinates for certain islands on the Maine east zone.
  - b. Negative "X" coordinates for points on the Dry Tortugas on the Florida east zone.
  - c. Negative "Y" coordinates for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.
  - d. Zone boundary in the State of Washington passing through Grant County following latitude 47° 30' rather than the county boundary.
  - e. Negative "X" coordinates for some points on Mona Island and vicinity west of Puerto Rico.
2. Urbanization that requires either different parameters for existing zones or additional zones such that a metropolitan area would be located in a single zone. For example:
  - a. New York City.
  - b. Chicago.
  - c. Cincinnati.
  - d. Washington, D.C.

3. A change in the arbitrary origin as discussed above. This can be accomplished in most cases by:

- a. Changing the "X" coordinate constant of 500,000 to 300,000 or 700,000 where the transverse Mercator is used, or change the "X" coordinate constant of 2,000,000 to 4,000,000 where the Lambert is used.
- b. Changing the "Y" coordinate constant of zero or 500,000 to 1,000,000.
- c. Changing both "X" and "Y".

The National Geodetic Survey will not change projection defining parameters in states that have legally adopted the SPC system until the state amends its legislation.

Dated: March 18, 1977.

T. P. GLEITER,  
Assistant Administrator  
for Administration.

[FR Doc. 77-8847 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

**Office of the Secretary**  
**ESSENTIALITY OF ADVISORY**  
**COMMITTEES**  
**Solicitation of Public Views**

In accordance with section 7(b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C.

App. I (Supp. V, 1975), Office of Management and Budget Circular A-63, Transmittal Memorandum No. 5, and the President's February 25, 1977 memorandum "Review of Advisory Committees", this Department is commencing a comprehensive review into the essentiality of its advisory committees.

The President has ordered a "zero-based review" \* \* \* with the presumption that committees not created expressly by statute should be abolished except those (1) for which there is a compelling need; (2) which will have truly balanced membership; and (3) which conduct their business as openly as possible consistent with the law and their mandate. With respect to committees created by law or Executive Order which fail to meet these standards, recommendations for their abolishment, consolidation, continuation, or revision are to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget.

Within Commerce, this review will cover each of the 91 advisory committees officially chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act as of January 20, 1977. These 91 committees, by title and brief statement of purpose, are accounted for in the listing below.

Public comment is hereby solicited on the abolishment, consolidation, or continuation of each of these committees. Such comments should be addressed as follows:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Assistant Secretary for Administration, Room 5830, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Comments received by April 4, 1977 in response to this solicitation will be considered by the Department in the course of its comprehensive review. Concurrently, and until April 15, 1977, all comments which are received will be available for public inspection and copying at the Department's Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 5316, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Any questions regarding this matter may be directed to Mr. Donald Budowsky, Office of Organization and Management Systems, Room 5026, Main Commerce Building, telephone: 202-377-4217.

Dated: March 15, 1977.

GUY W. CHAMBERLIN, Jr.,  
Acting Assistant  
Secretary for Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
ADVISORY COMMITTEES

*Advisory Board to the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy* examines the course of instruction and management of the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy and advises the Assistant Secretary for Maritime Affairs on these matters.

*Advisory Committee on East-West Trade* advises DIBA's Deputy Assistant Secretary for East-West Trade on ways to promote, facilitate, and coordinate the expansion of bilateral trade with Socialist countries, and identifies and makes recommendations concerning current and proposed government policies and programs relating to the promotion and expansion of such trade.

*Advisory Committee on Fire Training and Education for National Academy for Fire Prevention and Control* shall inquire and make recommendations to the Administrator, NFPCA, regarding the desirability of establishing a mechanism for accreditation of fire training and education programs and courses, and the role which DOC's National Academy for Fire Prevention and Control should play if such a mechanism is recommended.

*Advisory Committee for International Legal Metrology* advises Commerce (through the Director, NBS) on technical and policy matters relating to NBS' assigned general responsibility for the development of U.S. positions on technical issues arising in the International Organization of Legal Metrology.

*Advisory Committee on Product Liability* advises the Under Secretary (who chairs the Interagency Task Force on Product Liability) on measures that might be taken in the public policy area to facilitate improvements in the product liability process.

*Advisory Council for Minority Enterprise* advises the Secretary on the development and implementation of policies in support of minority business enterprise program.

*Board of Visitors for the National Academy for Fire Prevention and Control* shall annually review the program of the Academy and make comments and recommendation to the Secretary regarding the operation of the Academy and any improvements therein which the Board deems appropriate.

*Building Technology Advisory Committee* advises DOC on matters relating to the Nation's needs in building research and technology, and provides a medium for receiving advice from all interests (e.g., construction industry, government, labor, consumers, state building code agencies, etc.) concerning relevant NBS programs and activities.

*Census Advisory Committee (CAC) on Agriculture Statistics* advises the Director, Census Bureau, on the kind of information that should be obtained from agricultural respondents; makes recommendations regarding the contents of agricultural reports; and presents the views and needs for data of major agricultural organizations, their members, and other users of agricultural statistics.

*CAC of the American Economic Association* advises the Director, Census Bureau, on technical matters, accuracy levels, and conceptual problems concerning the economic censuses; reviews major aspects of the Bureau's programs, and advises on the role of analysis within the Bureau and on the need for more detailed data.

*CAC of the American Marketing Association* advises the Director, Census Bureau, as to the statistics that will help in marketing the Nation's products and services and on ways to make the statistics more useful to users.

*CAC of the American Statistical Association* advises the Director, Census Bureau, on the Bureau's overall programs, considers priority issues in the planning of censuses; examines guiding principles and advises on policy and procedure issues; and responds to Bureau requests for opinion regarding Bureau operations.

*CAC on the Asian and Pacific Americans Population for the 1980 Census* provides an organized and continuing channel of communication between the Asian and Pacific Americans community and the Census Bureau on the problems and opportunities of the 1980 Census as they relate to the Asian and Pacific Americans of the U.S.

*CAC on the Black Population for the 1980 Census* provides an organized and continuing channel of communication between the black community and the Census Bureau on